



STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
BOARD OF REVIEW
2699 Park Avenue, Suite 100
Huntington, WV 25704

Earl Ray Tomblin
Governor

Karen L. Bowling
Cabinet Secretary

February 9, 2015

[REDACTED]

RE: [REDACTED] v. WVDHHR
ACTION NO.: 14-BOR-3628

Dear Ms. [REDACTED]

Enclosed is a copy of the decision resulting from the hearing held in the above-referenced matter.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearing Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

You will find attached an explanation of possible actions you may take if you disagree with the decision reached in this matter.

Sincerely,

Todd Thornton
State Hearing Officer
Member, State Board of Review

Encl: Claimant's Recourse to Hearing Decision
Form IG-BR-29

cc: Cassandra Burns, Department Representative

**WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES
BOARD OF REVIEW**

██████████,

Defendant,

v.

Action Number: 14-BOR-3628

**WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES,**

Movant.

DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER

INTRODUCTION

This is the decision of the State Hearing Officer resulting from an administrative disqualification hearing for ██████████ requested by the Movant on November 6, 2014. This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources' Common Chapters Manual and Federal Regulations at 7 CFR § 273.16. The hearing was convened on February 5, 2015.

The matter before the Hearing Officer arises from a request by the Department for a determination as to whether the Defendant has committed an intentional program violation and thus should be disqualified from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) for 12 months.

At the hearing, the Department appeared by Cassandra Burns. The Defendant was notified of the hearing and failed to appear, resulting in the hearing being held in the Defendant's absence. All witnesses were sworn and the following documents were admitted into evidence.

Movant's Exhibits:

- D-1 Code of Federal Regulations, 7 CFR §273.16
- D-2 SNAP claim determination form and supporting documentation
- D-3 Medicaid review document, electronically signed May 5, 2013
- D-4 SNAP review document, dated October 7, 2013
- D-5 Screen print of comments regarding the Defendant's case from the Movant's data system, entry dates May 8, 2013, through July 12, 2014
- D-6 Income verification from ██████████, dated May 21, 2014 (date completed)
- D-7 Income verification from ██████████, dated March 25, 2014 (date completed)
- D-8 Income verification from ██████████, dated March 21, 2014 (date completed)

D-9	West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual (WVIMM), §1.2
D-10	WVIMM, §20.2
D-11	WVIMM, §20.6
D-12	ADH documents

After a review of the record, including testimony, exhibits, and stipulations admitted into evidence at the hearing, and after assessing the credibility of all witnesses and weighing the evidence in consideration of the same, the Hearing Officer sets forth the following Findings of Fact.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1) The Defendant received an overissuance of SNAP benefits from June 2013 through April 2014 totaling \$6224 (Exhibit D-2).
- 2) The overissuance was based on the exclusion of the earned income of the Defendant's husband from the calculation of her SNAP benefits.
- 3) This income was not included in the calculation of the Defendant's SNAP benefits because the Defendant failed to report the income on reviews directly establishing, or indirectly affecting SNAP eligibility (Exhibits D-3 and D-4). (Both SNAP and Medicaid benefits are administered by the Movant, and eligibility factors reported for a Medicaid review are considered for ongoing SNAP eligibility.)
- 4) The Movant presented income verification for the Defendant's husband. The Defendant's husband was working for these employers at the time the Defendant completed the reviews in question. The Defendant did not report her husband's employment at [REDACTED] (Exhibit D-7) on her May 2013 Medicaid review (Exhibit D-3) or her October 2013 SNAP review (Exhibit D-4).
- 5) The Movant contended the action of the Defendant to conceal information regarding her household income constitutes an Intentional Program Violation (IPV), and requested this hearing for the purpose of making that determination.
- 6) The Defendant has no prior IPV offenses.

APPLICABLE POLICY

The Code of Federal Regulations, 7 CFR §273.16(c) defines an IPV as having intentionally "concealed or withheld facts" for purposes of SNAP eligibility.

The West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 9.1.A.2.h, indicates a first offense IPV results in a one year disqualification from SNAP.

DISCUSSION

The Defendant did not appear for the hearing, and as such could not dispute facts presented by the Movant.

The testimony and evidence presented by the Movant clearly show an action that meets the codified IPV definition. The Defendant made false statements regarding her household income. The multiple false statements, as well as the duration and dollar amount of the resulting overissuance are sufficient to indicate intent.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

Because the actions of the Defendant constitute an IPV, the Department must disqualify the Defendant from receipt of SNAP benefits, and because the IPV is a first offense the disqualification period is one year.

DECISION

The proposed IPV disqualification of the Defendant is upheld. The Defendant will be disqualified from receipt of SNAP benefits for a period of one year, beginning with March 1, 2015.

ENTERED this ____ Day of February 2015.

Todd Thornton
State Hearing Officer